

Uwe Kranz (Common postal address)
XXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX

Marianne Grimmenstein Balas
XXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXX

Obernzell/Lüdenscheid, 07/22/2023

To the
Attorney General at the Federal Court of Justice
Brauerstr. 30

76135 Karlsruhe

complaint

for high treason against the German people according to §81 Section 1 and §83 Section 1, for violating the guarantor position according to Section 13 Section 1 of the Criminal Code and for violating Section 7 Section 1 No. 2 of the Code of Crimes against Humanity against International Law and (Global) genocide according to Section 6 Paragraph 1 No. 3 International Criminal Code as well as all possible crimes

placed against the parliamentary group leaders of the "traffic light coalition" and the federal government and

although in detail

against

1. Dr. Rolf Heinrich Mützenich, Chairman of the SPD parliamentary group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

2. Ms. Katharina Dröge, Chairwoman of the Alliance 90/The Greens parliamentary group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

3. Mrs. Britta Haßelmann, Chairwoman of the Bundestag faction Bündnis 90/Die Grünen, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

4. Mr. Christian Dürr, Chairman of the FDP parliamentary group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

5. Mr. Olaf Scholz, Federal Chancellor, Willy-Brandt-Str. 1, 10557 Berlin

6. Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach, Federal Minister of Health, Friedrichstr. 108, 10117 Berlin

and all other members of the Federal Government

Reason:

In the opinion of the above-mentioned complainants¹, the above-mentioned persons are liable to prosecution for inaction/violation of the guarantor position and/or criminal behavior with regard to the following criminal offences:

¹ **Gender note:** For better readability, the generic masculine is used in this complaint. In the The personal designations used in this criminal complaint refer - unless otherwise indicated - on all genders.

§81 paragraph 1 StGB high treason against the federal government

(1) Who undertakes it, by force or by threat of force

1. to impair the existence of the Federal Republic of Germany or
2. Changing the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany is punishable by life imprisonment or imprisonment for not less than ten years.

Anyone who undertakes to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany by using violence or by threatening to use violence shall be punished with life imprisonment or imprisonment for not less than 10 years.

Section 83(1) of the Criminal Code Preparation of a highly treasonable enterprise

(1) Whoever prepares a specific highly treasonable undertaking against the Federation shall be punished with imprisonment from one to ten years, in less serious cases with imprisonment from one to five years.

§ 13 paragraph 1 StGB Commitment by omission

(1) Any person who fails to avert a result that is part of the elements of a criminal law is only liable to prosecution under this law if he/she is legally responsible for ensuring that the result does not occur and if the failure to implement the statutory elements of a criminal offense is Doing equals.

§ 7 para. 1 no. 2 VStGB crimes against humanity:

The facts of § 7 VStGB require that

-there is a systematic attack against the civilian population

1....

2. with the intention of destroying a population in whole or in part, places it or parts of it under living conditions that are suitable for bringing about their destruction in whole or in part

Section 6 Paragraph 1 No. 3 of the CCAIL genocide

(1) Whoever, with the intention of destroying, as such, in whole or in part, a national, racial, religious or ethnic group,

3. places the group under living conditions that are suitable for causing their physical destruction in whole or in part,

These elements of the offense are realized as follows through the inactivity of the above-mentioned persons in the Bundestag and the Federal Government:

I. Background

The background includes the numerous recommendations of the WHO in recent years, which have proven harmful over time and which the government has not ended despite this realization. During this period, the 194 members of the World Health Organization (WHO) met on 01.01.

December 2021 on the **commencement of the process of elaborating and negotiating a convention, understanding or other international instrument** under the Constitution of the World Health Organization to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response (International Pandemic Treaty CA+) and on the amendments to the International Health Regulations (YOU) agreed. The two drafts are to be submitted to the WHO Director-General by January 2024 ready for signature and adopted at the 77th session of the World Health Assembly in 2024.

The International WHO Pandemic Treaty (CA+) requires a two-thirds majority to be adopted. The changes to the International Health Regulations (IHR) can be decided with a simple majority. The IHR is subject to a 10-month consultation period after adoption. The International

WHO Pandemic Treaty (CA+) only needs ratification by 30 of the 194 member states to come into force. The two conventions will then be binding under international law. Provisional versions of both conventions are already available. The current draft of the International Pandemic Treaty (CA+) of 2 June 2023 (see https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf)

commits the signatory states to:

- Strengthening of the central role of the WHO as leading and coordinating authority (Art.3 CA+),
- Facilitate WHO's rapid access to outbreak areas, including by deploying expert teams to assess and support the response to emerging outbreaks, (Art. 15 CA+)
- Increase capacity to build and maintain strategic stocks of products for pandemic response (Art. 7 CA+)
- Provision of stocks, raw materials and other necessary inputs for the sustainable production of pandemic products (particularly active pharmaceutical ingredients), also for stockpiling (Art. 13 CA+)
- Incentives (money) for the pharmaceutical companies in connection with everything related to the development, production, production capacities, distribution and stocking of their products (Art. 3, 9, 12 CA+)
- Cooperation with the private sector (e.g. pharmaceutical companies) and civil society (e.g. various foundations) in all possible variants (Art. 6, 11, 16, 19 CA+)
- Compensation for vaccine victims only for a limited period of time (Art. 10 CA+)
- the WHO Director-General may, under his own authority and without the consent of those concerned Governments declare regional or global health emergencies (Art. 15 CA+),
- Manage infodemics" through appropriate channels, including social media, counteract misinformation and disinformation (Art. 18 CA+).
- With the "One Health Approach", which would finally be adopted under international law with the CA+, all these instruments then also applied to livestock, wild and domestic animals and to the plant world. That meant forced vaccination with mRNA in stables, pastures and enclosures, otherwise the culling of hundreds of thousands of animals. By signing the WHO documents, the signatory states recognize that "most emerging infectious diseases originate in animals, including wild and domestic animals, and then spread to humans". (Art. 4 and 5 CA+)

The current draft of the pandemic agreement of June 2, 2023 only contains alternative wording on disputed points. Compared to the "conceptual zero draft" of November 2022, it contains essential ones Deteriorations, especially in terms of gain-of-function research (viruses from the laboratory) and civil liberties. The principle of human rights and freedom with the right to health has been combined, instead of the obligation to promote freedom (Art. 2 CA+). Possible restrictions are already indicated in this draft. The ordinance limiting killer virus research has been relaxed compared to the zero draft and only good intentions are required (Art. 9 CA+).

There are no reservation clauses in the pandemic contract.

The planned changes to the IHR reform are similar to the Pandemic Treaty (see https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf). The YOU are binding. These reform proposals provide for:

- Respect for the **dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms** of persons has been deleted (Art. 3 HER)
- Deletion of the predicate **non-binding** in the recommendations of the WHO (Art. 1, 42 IHR) • Governments should ensure **compliance with the WHO regulations** (so far only non-binding recommendations) by non-state actors (Art. 53 IHR)
- Mandatory reviews of compliance with recommendations/regulations by the WHO Emergency Committee (Art. 48 IHR)
- The scope of the IHR is to be extended to "all risks that may affect public health" (Art. 2 IHR)

- Extension of the IHR to all potential, regional and temporary targets and other diseases whose spread from person to person cannot be excluded (Art. 12 IHR)
- The possibility is opened for health documents to contain information on laboratory tests, generally, not only during health emergencies (Art. 23, Annex 6 IHR)
- The WHO Director-General can declare a PHEIC on his own authority and without the consent of affected governments, even in the event of a potential emergency (Art. 12 IHR)
- The WHO Director-General is given leverage to send teams of experts to affected countries and to help their recommendations to be enforced (Art. 15 IHR)
- It is possible after the proclamation of the PHEIC: implementation of contact tracing, border closure, travel restrictions, lockdown, forced quarantine, entry ban, flight ban, compulsory vaccination, vaccination certificate/ID, compulsory medication (Art. 18, 23, Annex 6 IHR)

II. High treason against the federal government according to Section 81 Paragraph 1 StGB and Section 83 Paragraph 1 StGB

On May 9, 2023, the traffic light parliamentary groups under the leadership of the Federal Government introduced a motion for a resolution in favor of the WHO in the Bundestag to let the Bundestag vote on whether sovereignty and freedom rights of the Federal Republic of Germany should be transferred to the WHO in the name of protecting the health should be given. The Bundestag approved the motion for a resolution on May 12, 2023. This means that the representative of the Federal Government can approve the changes to the International Health Regulations and the acceptance of the new International Pandemic Treaty (CA+) at the 77th WHO Conference in May 2024 without further involvement from the Bundestag. The International Pandemic Agreement (CA+) still has to be ratified by the Bundestag.

With the submission of the motion for a resolution of May 9, 2023, without informing the Members of the constitutional violations of the two treaties, although the provisional version of the two treaties was already available before the vote, and with the transfer of the negotiating mandate to the EU for the two treaties, without to inform the Bundestag about this and thus obtain the consent of the Bundestag for this, the four chairmen of the traffic light parliamentary groups, Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr attempted to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany. Chancellor Olaf Scholz, Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the federal government are jointly responsible for this through their position as guarantors. The federal government and the leaders of the traffic light groups are proving that they are not only disregarding parliamentary decision-making by the elected representatives of the people, but also federalism and the applicable principle of subsidiarity.

Proof

1. Transfer of sovereign rights

a) In the motion for a resolution, the leaders of the traffic light groups call for “sustainable strengthening of the World Health Organization (WHO)” through reforms to strengthen its “governance, efficiency, independence, capacity, accountability. The “enforcement of rules” should also be promoted so that the WHO can assume its “leading role in global health policy”.

It goes on to say: “It is necessary that the two processes for international regulation of pandemic response consider and strengthen the leadership role of WHO in pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. For example, the ongoing negotiations on a pandemic agreement or - instrument within the framework of the WHO and the reform of the International Health Regulations (IHR) contribute to improving the assertiveness and independence of the WHO in the event of a health crisis.” (see <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/067/ 2006712.pdf>).

The WHO is a democratically illegitimate organization. It draws 80 percent of its budget, according to the financial report dated March 24. April 2023 from earmarked donations (see https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf). The WHO represents through their

The main donors, which according to the financial report of April 24, 2023 are mainly NGOs, foundations and corporations, private interests, because the donors can determine what the donated sum should be used for according to the WHO constitution.

See Article 57 of the WHO Constitution

"The Health Assembly, or the Council on its behalf, may receive and administer gifts and legacies to the Organization provided that the terms attached to such gifts or legacies appear acceptable to the Health Assembly or the Council and are consistent with the purposes and policies of the Organization. "

"The WHO belongs to pharmaceutical companies: Corrupt to the core," announced Transparency International back in 2014. According to Transparency, it was underfunded, ineffective and corrupt. It is not only the mismanagement at the highest level that is to blame for the poor state of the WHO, but also the close connection with the pharmaceutical lobby.

See <https://www.transparency.de/aktuelles/detail/article/who-gehoert-pharmaunternehmenen-korrupt-bis-in-die-bone>

According to the WHO financial report for 2022, Hoffmann-La Roche, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, Merck, Sanofi-Aventis, the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world, and the largest philanthropic foundations such as Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation are among the sponsors.

See https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf

The Frankfurter Rundschau already stated in 2018 that the WHO has long since lost its independence. The WHO was driven into the arms of the pharmaceutical industry and philanthropic foundations by far too low mandatory contributions from the member countries (see <https://www.fr.de/panorama/vorsicht-10962409.html>). "Since then, lucrative drug and vaccination programs have been funded by the WHO, and there is no longer any talk of basic health systems. So the WHO makes policy for its donors." – announced the Frankfurter Rundschau quite frankly. Nothing has changed in this state of WHO, because the number of donors has not decreased (see WHO financial report for 2022: [https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en .pdf](https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf)).

The WHO is currently led by Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus. He is an Ethiopian biologist, immunologist and politician suspected of having committed genocide in his own country. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty reports for 2005-2016 show that the government that Tedros served either committed or ignored shocking crimes in Ethiopia.

See https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/world_report_download/wr2016_web.pdf and <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/pol10/4800/2017/en/>

Recently, under the leadership of Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, North Korea was allowed to become a member of the Executive Board of the WHO. The International Health Regulations (IHR) Reform Working Group is chaired by Dr. Abdullah Assiri of Saudi Arabia. During the last session of the WHO, he said verbatim that it was about "the prioritization of measures" "that can restrict individual freedoms" (see from min 14, quote from min 17:20 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TLI3ZObwesk>). two human rights-violating states suddenly take care of the health of the German population.

Saudi Arabia and North Korea,

With the planned treaties, sovereign rights would be transferred to the WHO without any democratic control and legitimation. In the future, the World Health Organization would be able to determine when a pandemic of international proportions should be declared, even if there was a potential danger. WHO can then impose on all member states (currently 194) the implementation of prescribed measures. According to the current version of the two conventions, IHR and International Pandemic Treaty, countries should be obliged to take all measures ordered by the WHO

implement. The WHO should even get enforcement mechanisms. These measures could include lockdowns, quarantine measures, travel restrictions, mandatory vaccinations, enforcement of digital vaccination cards and bans on gatherings worldwide.

The motion for a resolution by the traffic light groups of May 9, 2023 was presented to the members of the German Bundestag without any legal expert opinion on the existing versions of the planned convention, although fundamental sovereign rights are transferred to the WHO by the two conventions according to the current version. According to the current version of the two conventions, the Federal Republic of Germany would lose its freedom of action and thus its sovereignty, because the two conventions are even intended to become binding under international law. The motion for a resolution is actually an intended change of identity. Basically, it means the intended elimination of the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany according to the Basic Law, because the German people were not asked beforehand whether they wanted to replace the Basic Law and thus the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany in favor of the WHO.

In the motion for a resolution by the traffic light groups, MEPs were not informed that the German government intends to transfer the negotiating mandate for the two treaties to the EU Commission. The federal government did this without the consent of the Bundestag and did not even inform the members of parliament about it. The Bundestag has this

Transfer of mandate from the Federal Government to the EU Commission not approved. In May 2023, the member of the Bundestag, Dr. Rainer Rothfuss, sent a written question to the Federal Ministry of Health under work number 5/626. The question was which complete amendments or new versions of the sub-articles or, if applicable, an entire article of the currently discussed changes to the "International Health Regulations" of the WHO in the latest version of the compiled proposals were proposed by the federal government. It was only through the Federal Government's answer to this question that the members of the Bundestag found out that the Federal Government had already transferred the negotiating mandate on the two treaties to the EU Commission on behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany.

See https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2023/07/2023-06-19_Antwort_Dittmar_EU_BRD_WHO_WGIHR.pdf

The federal government is thus proving that it not only disregards parliamentary decision-making by the elected representatives of the people, but also federalism and the principle of subsidiarity.

This is not only a complete disregard for the constitutional order, but a possible criminal offense to eliminate the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany.

In the current version of the International Health Regulations (IHR), the core democratic principles of “**dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms**” became the Basic Law painted. **This deletion affects Art. 1 and 20 GG, which are subject to the eternity guarantee of the Basic Law.** Article 12 of the amended IHR even stipulates that the WHO Director will have sole decision-making power in the future, **even in the event of** a potential risk when declaring a pandemic. According to Article 53A IHR, the member states would have to set up an implementation committee to monitor the implementation of the measures.

See https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

These facts were not mentioned at all in the MEPs' resolution, although the current version of the amended IHR was already in place. All violations of the constitution Conventions were veiled from MPs. Due to the sovereignty of the Federal Republic as defined by the Basic Law and the principle of democracy, the German state organs are “only” bound by the constitution and democratically passed laws. The GG establishes the Federal Republic as an independent state, i.e. **with no one** above it. With the approval of the two treaties, decision-making power would be transferred to the non-democratically legitimate WHO. That would also be a total abolition of federalism and the currently applicable principle of subsidiarity.

Members of Parliament and a federal government that advocate strengthening the WHO without dealing with the currently planned regulations (International Health Regulations/Pandemic Treaty) are NOT on the ground of the Basic Law. Because they are subordinating our country to a non-democratically legitimate institution like the WHO, with – if the current plans are implemented – uncontrolled powers. The drafted state was released with the acceptance of the traffic light parliamentary group's motion for a resolution by the Bundestag.

This shows that the crime of "high treason against the federal government" was committed by the four leaders of the traffic light groups. Chancellor Olaf Scholz, who Health Minister Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the federal government are through the jointly responsible for guaranteeing this.

b) On May 9, 2023, the parliamentary group DIE LINKE addressed a small query to the federal government. The question was about the status of cooperation between the federal government and private foundations. They justify their request that numerous super-rich set up their own "philanthropic" foundations. Through these foundations, they not only play an increasing role in development finance, but also influence the formulation of development policy strategies and their implementation at the national level. In their request, they refer to the world's largest private foundation, "Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation", as it has become one of the most influential actors in shaping international health and agricultural policies.

The parliamentary group put 24 questions to the government. Question 4 is: "To what extent does the Federal Government take a critical view of the growing influence of private foundations on national and global public opinion-forming and decision-making, also and especially taking into account and upholding Western values such as democracy?"

See <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/068/2006836.pdf>

In its reply of June 30, 2023, the Federal Government confirms that the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development explicitly emphasizes that the active involvement of private actors is indispensable for achieving the global sustainability goals (cf. SDG 17, among others). "This also gives rise to the mandate for appropriate cooperation with these actors... In this respect, the Federal Government is striving to involve social actors such as private foundations in achieving the sustainable development goals in the interests of broader participation and involvement." It also confirms that the members of the Federal Government, Parliamentary State Secretaries and State Ministers as well as State Secretaries in each electoral period in the performance of their tasks maintain contacts with a large number of actors from all social groups

and **conversation contents are not logged.**

With reference to the WHO, the vaccine alliance Gavi or the vaccine development agency CEVI and the impact of the "far-reaching decisions for global public services" made there, the left wants to know to what extent the traffic light is committed to reducing the influence of such organizations. The answer: **"The federal government sees no need to generally curb the influence of private foundations."**

It seems that the federal government no longer respects the basic democratic order of the Basic Law. The federal government completely disregards the parliamentary decision-making process by the elected representatives of the people, because the members of parliament are not informed at all about the events. This time the federal government openly admits that it does not hold the reins of action in its own hands and disregards the rule of representative democracy.

See <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/075/2007512.pdf>

According to the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany, all power emanates from the people (see Art. 20 Para. 2 Clause 1 GG) and not from various foundations or NGOs. Since the federal government completely ignores the parliamentary decision-making process by the elected representatives of the people, the cooperation with the foundations

is not transparent, the content of the conversation is not logged at all and the members of parliament are not informed at all about the events, the realization of the crime of "high treason against the federal government" by the members of the federal government can be seen. The Federal Government seems to intend to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany.

2. Censorship measures in the event of dissenting opinions

Restricting freedom of the press and freedom of expression and the worldwide standardization of censorship measures in the event of dissenting opinions would also be among the mandatory measures of the two treaties. With the new conventions in the current version, the WHO would even extensive powers to order censorship (see Art. 18 CA+, Art. 44 and Appendix 1 page 36 IHR). The WHO presumes to define what the truth is. The World Health Organization (WHO), which is largely funded by large corporations and their foundations, even describes on its website how it already controls and manipulates social media to ensure that only its version of science and truth is shared. The WHO even boasts on its website "Combatting misinformation online" (see <https://www.who.int/teams/digital-health-and-innovation/digital-channels/combatting-misinformation-online>) that a large number of videos and other content have been censored through their involvement during the recent pandemic. Under the heading "Changing social media policy and guidelines," the page reads:

"WHO works with the policy departments of social media companies to ensure that company policies and policies for content providers are appropriate. For example, WHO has worked with YouTube to improve their policies on COVID-19

Improve misinformation and create guidelines for content providers to ensure that medical disinformation related to the virus is not spread on their platform.

Updates to these policies have resulted in the removal of **850,000** YouTube videos containing harmful or misleading information about COVID-19 from February 2020 to January 2021. "

To continue global censorship, WHO issued a call for tenders to organizations "willing to partner with WHO to develop and organize a workshop to train fact-checker trainers to strengthen the voice of science and dispel misinformation." You can apply from November 21 to December 16, 2022 (see <https://www.who.int/news-room/articles-detail/call-for-expression-of-interest-for-suppliers>).

The representative of the federal government approved the resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health" (see https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB152/B152_CONF6-en.pdf) in the 76th session of the World Health Assembly. **The resolution also advocates censorship in the event of dissenting opinions.** Nothing can be found in the resolution about respect for human free will or about the limits of what science can say with sufficient certainty to allow dissenting theories and assessments to be classified and countered as disinformation.

Freedom of expression and reporting are fundamental rights. They are the cornerstone of the basic democratic order according to the Basic Law. The Federal Constitutional Court still saw it this way in 1966: "A free press that is not controlled by public authority and not subject to censorship is an essential element of a free state; in particular, a free, regular political press is essential to modern democracy. If citizens are to make political decisions, they must be comprehensively informed, but they must also know and be able to weigh up the opinions that others have formed. The press keeps this constant discussion going."

(Federal Constitutional Court: Spiegel judgment 1966, BVerfGE 20, 162). Anyone who wants to subject the Federal Republic of Germany to rules that prevent freedom of expression is clearly attempting to abolish the basic democratic order under the Basic Law. The representative of

The federal government should not have approved the resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health" because the provisions of this resolution already lead to the elimination of the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany.

Since the basic human rights, the right to freedom of expression and reporting under the Basic Law and the general human rights for the entire population were withdrawn during the last pandemic under the leadership of the WHO and also permitted by the current federal government and further future restrictions on expression of opinion require the consent of the representative of the current federal government during the 76th session of the World Health Assembly in May 2023 (see Resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health"), the implementation of the criminal offense "high treason against the federal government" by the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the federal government recognize all of the above.

II. Crimes against humanity Section 7 Paragraph 1 No. 2 of the CCAIL and Genocide Section 6 Paragraph 1 No. 3 of the CCAIL

With the motion for a resolution of May 9, 2023, the four group chairmen, Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the Federal Government have created conditions whereby the population can be destroyed in whole or in part, by which these or parts of them can be placed under living conditions that are suitable for bringing about the destruction in whole or in part.

Proof

1. Disregard for children's rights

Children's rights have been violated throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. At the public meeting of the Children's Commission of the German Bundestag (Kiko) on September 9, 2020, Prof. Dr. Michael Klundt, professor for child policy at the University of Magdeburg-Stendal: QUOTE: "There is DEMONSTRATION that the basic protective care and participation rights of around 13 MILLION children and young people have been violated. Practically all political decisions and measures since March/April have therefore been made in VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL RIGHTS and FEDERAL LAW without giving priority to the best interests of the child."

According to the final report of the interministerial working group "Health effects on children and young people due to Corona" of February 8, 2023, the consequences of the pandemic (including school and kindergarten closures, wearing masks, etc.) on children and young people continue to this day. Currently, 73% are still mentally stressed.

See [https://www.bundesgesundheitsministerium.de/fileadmin/Aktien/3_Downloads/K/Kindergesundheit / Final_Report_IMA_Child_Health.pdf](https://www.bundesgesundheitsministerium.de/fileadmin/Aktien/3_Downloads/K/Kindergesundheit/Final_Report_IMA_Child_Health.pdf)

Even the current federal government has not immediately ended the harm done to the younger generation. With the approval of the two agreements with the WHO made possible, further damage to the young generation, which has already been damaged, is permitted. The WHO made several harmful recommendations during the last pandemic. Since the two treaties do not take into account the special protection of children, young people and unborn life, the young generation is at the mercy of the arbitrariness of the WHO, an organization that is not democratically legitimized and democratically controlled and that mainly represents the interests of its donors.

The two conventions in their current form allow WHO to mandate compulsory medication and vaccination for all people. According to the two contracts, the WHO will approve vaccines and medicines more quickly, which are also expected to be ruthlessly administered to children, adolescents and pregnant women. This was already practiced during the last pandemic. Since the Federal Republic of Germany has its freedom of action during a pandemic under the two treaties

through IHR and between the pandemics through the International Pandemic Treaty, it would no longer be able to oppose measures that are harmful to children and young people.

With the motion for a resolution, the four parliamentary group leaders Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann, Christian Dürr created the possibility, through the always possible harmful measures of the WHO, to place children, youth and unborn life under such living conditions that are suitable for causing their physical destruction in whole or in part. The position of guarantor means that Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health, Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the federal government are jointly responsible for this.

From this, the criminal offenses "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be recognized.

2. Violation of the right to personal integrity

a) The Federal Government's Corona Expert Council met from December 2021 to April 2023. After a year-long legal dispute with the Federal Chancellery on the basis of the Freedom of Information Act, the doctor Christian Haffner successfully fought the minutes of the so-called Corona Expert Council of the Federal Government. The committee met from December 2021 to April 2023 with the utmost confidentiality. The expert council had recommended to the federal government both general vaccination and the continuation of corona measures in autumn 2022 - even though the committee was actually aware that vaccination does not protect against transmission. Despite this fact, the facility-based vaccination requirement in the healthcare sector was maintained until the regulation expired on December 31, 2023. Soldiers still have to be vaccinated against Corona, despite the known numerous side effects of the vaccination.

Remarkably, as with the other expert committees of the Federal Government, not a single epidemiologist was present. In addition, 10 members of the 19 members of the Expert Council work for institutions that have received direct funding from the Gates Foundation.

See <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/about/committed-grants>

The explosive documents of the Corona Expert Council give a shocking insight into the closed world view of "experts" who are willing to put their scientific ideology above the well-being of the citizens. This could happen under the leadership of the current federal government.

See <https://my.hidrive.com/lnk/UbST4YWv#file>

Through the dealings of the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the federal government with the past pandemic, the offenses of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be identified.

b) The heads of government of the 20 economically strongest countries (G20) have already decided on digital health certificates as a permanent requirement for international travel at their 2022 summit in Bali. According to the Bali declaration of the heads of state and government of the G20 - adopted at their meeting on November 15th and 16th, 2022 - digital vaccination certificates should be used internationally and become a permanent requirement as a prerequisite for international travel in order to avoid restrictions on freedom of movement and travel enforce. The Federal Government also approved the Bali Declaration and thus committed itself **to supporting this project without the approval of the Bundestag.**

See point 23 in the BALI statement <https://www.bundesregierung.de/resource/blob/975254/2143372/c32dd4674a573a180c1ecc615729ac75/2022-11-16-declaration-g20-deu-data.pdf?download=1>

On June 5, 2023, the WHO announced that it would adopt the EU's digital infrastructure as a permanent model for global travel. The introduction of global health certificates in digital or

Paper form is presented in detail under Art. 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, 35, 36, Annexes 6 and 8 IHR in the amended version of November 15, 2022.

See https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

The WHO's plan to permanently introduce digital health and vaccination certificates, which also means compulsory vaccination, completely disregards the population's elementary right to physical integrity, because nobody may be subjected to medical or scientific experiments without voluntary consent. As the practice with the conditionally approved COVID-19 vaccines has already shown, the population is exposed to endless risks and discrimination as the WHO is willing to use pharmacological products, mainly vaccines, with extremely shortened approval processes in the fight against a pandemic (see Annex 6 IHR, Art. 14 Pandemic Treaty of June 2, 2023) and to make it mandatory without taking into account the countless possible vaccine damages that she herself listed during the last pandemic.

With the approval of the Bali declaration, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the federal government and the four parliamentary group leaders, Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann, Christian Dürr with the motion for a resolution the WHO's plan to permanently introduce digital health and vaccination certificates, which can also mean compulsory vaccination with vaccines approved at short notice. In doing so, they tolerate such measures that are suitable for causing the physical destruction of the population in whole or in part, because lawyers have already identified serious deficiencies in the approval of the new mRNA preparations against Covid-19.

See <https://web.archive.org/web/20230210142911/https://www.berliner-zeitung.de/politik-gesellschaft/das-zulassungsdesaster-lobbyarbeit-und-rechtsbruch-im-fall-der-mrna-prepareate-left-314750>

According to Article 53A of the amended International Health Regulations (IHR), the member states would even have to set up an implementation committee to monitor the implementation of the measures prescribed by the WHO. According to Article 36 IHR, travel permits for people would only be issued with vaccination certificates that were digital if possible. These facts make vaccination compulsory.

See https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

Since Chancellor Olaf Scholz already approved the introduction of the health certificate at the G-20 meeting, she has also approved the obligation to vaccinate with conditionally approved preparations that can be prescribed by the WHO. These measures would place the population under conditions suitable for bringing about its destruction in whole or in part. From this, the criminal offenses "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be recognized. The

Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the federal government and the four leaders of the traffic light groups are responsible for this

c) The IHR and the International Pandemic Treaty (CA+) in the current version do not prohibit the further conduct of so-called "gain-of-function" research. With the motion for a resolution, the four parliamentary group chairmen Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government the further pursuit of the so-called "gain-of-function" research. They don't even raise their voices.

Since absolutely safe laboratories do not exist, the four parliamentary group leaders Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government through the position as a guarantor, the condition must already be placed on the WHO with the motion for a resolution that the WHO finally performs its task here and fulfills its obligations towards the world population

must. In order to prevent further pandemics, they should have obliged the WHO to immediately start negotiations with the operating states to close these laboratories. The members of the federal government and also the four chairmen of the traffic light groups did not advocate the closure of the highly dangerous laboratories at all.

Since the four parliamentary group leaders Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Health Minister Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government have not raised any objections to the further pursuit of the so-called "gain-of-function" research and thus expressed their continued toleration, one could see this as an intention that the conditions will continue to be maintained should be capable of bringing about the physical destruction of the population in whole or in part. From this, the criminal offenses "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be recognized.

Action is urgently required to ensure that the Federal Republic of Germany does not lose its sovereignty and ability to act and that the population is placed under living conditions that are suitable for bringing about its destruction in whole or in part.

You are asked to start investigative activities and to inform us of the results of the investigation.

Uwe Kranz

Marianne Grimmenstein Balas